arXiv:1601.06238v1 [math.RA] 23 Jan 2016

ASSOSYMMETRIC ALGEBRAS UNDER JORDAN PRODUCT

ASKAR DZHUMADIL'DAEV

Abstract. We prove that assosymmetric algebras under Jordan product are Lie triple. A Lie triple algebra is called special if it is isomorphic to a subalgebra of some plus-assosymmetric algebra. We establish that Glennie identitiy is valid for special Lie triple algebras, but not for all Lie triple algebras.

An associative algebra under Jordan commutator satisfies three remarkable polynomial identities: commutativity, Jordan identity and Glennie identity. These identities are independent. It is not clear whether these identities form base of identities for plus-associative algebras [15].

In our paper we establish analogue of these results for assosymmetric algebras. Assosymetric algebras play in theory of Lie triple algebras the same role as a role of associative algebras in theory of Jordan algebras. We prove that any assosymmetric algebra under Jordan product satisfies three polynomial identities: commutativity, Lie triple identity and Glennie identity. In a class of plus-assosymmetric algebras these identities are independent. Lie triple identity is a consequence of Jordan identity, but these identities are not equivalent.

To formulate our results we need to introduce some notations and remind some definitions. Let K be a field of characteristic $p \geq 0$. We will suppose that $p \neq 2, 3$, if otherwise is not stated. For algebra A notation $A = (A, \cdot)$ will mean that a vector space A over field K has multiplication $\cdot$, i.e., A is endowed by bilinear map $(a, b) \mapsto a \cdot b$. For an algebra A with multiplication $\cdot$ its *plus-algebra* is defined as algebra $A^{(+)} = (A, \star)$, where $a \star b = a \cdot b + b \cdot a$ is Jordan commutator. Similarly a *minus-algebra* $A^{(-)} = (A, [\ ,\ ])$ of A is defined as algebra with vector space A and multiplication given by Lie commutator $[a, b] = a \cdot b - b \cdot a$. For a class of algebras $\mathcal{C}$ denote by $\mathcal{C}^{(\pm)}$ class of algebras of a form $A^{(\pm)}$, where $A \in \mathcal{C}$.

Let $F = K\langle t_1, t_2, \ldots\rangle$ be an algebra of non-associative non-commutative polynomials with countable number of generators $t_1, t_2, \ldots$. Sometimes we will restrict the number of generators and we consider F as an absolute free algebra with generators $t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_k$. The algebra F sometimes is called absolute free algebra, sometimes free magmatic algebra. For an algebra $A = (A, \cdot)$ with multiplication $\cdot$ and a polynomial $f = f(t_1, \ldots, t_k) \in F$ say that $f = 0$ is a *polynomial identity* of A if $f(a_1, \ldots, a_k) = 0$ for any substitution $t_i := a_i \in A$, $1 \leq u \leq k$. Here we calculate $f(a_1, \ldots, a_k)$ in terms of multiplication $\cdot$. About polynomial identities and varieties of non-associative algebras see for example [15].

Let $[t_1, t_2] = t_1t_2 - t_2t_1$ be Lie commutator, $t_1 \star t_2 = t_1t_2 + t_2t_1$ Jordan commutator and

$$(t_1, t_2, t_3) = t_1(t_2t_3) - (t_1t_2)t_3$$

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 17C05, 16W25.

Key words and phrases. Assosymmetric algebras, Jordan algfebras, Lie triple algebras, Glennie identity.

associator. Associator of plus-algebra is denoted by $\langle a,b,c\rangle$,

$$\langle t_1,t_2,t_3\rangle = t_1\star(t_2\star t_3)-(t_1\star t_2)\star t_3.$$

Let

$$lsym(t_1,t_2,t_3) = (t_1,t_2,t_3)-(t_1,t_3,t_2),$$
$$rsym(t_1,t_2,t_3) = (t_1,t_2,t_3)-(t_1,t_3,t_2),$$

be left-symmetric and right-symmetric identities. An algebra with identities $lsym = 0$ and $rsym = 0$ is called *assosymmetric.* So, an algebra $A=(A,\cdot)$ is assosymmetric if

$$a\cdot[b,c] = (a\cdot b)\cdot c-(a\cdot c)\cdot b,$$
$$[a,b]\cdot c = a\cdot(b\cdot c)-b\cdot(a\cdot c).$$

for any $a,b,c\in A$. Recall that *nucleus* of algebra A is a subspace of elements $x\in A$ such that $(x,a,b)=(a,x,b)=(a,b,x)=0$ for any $a,b\in A$. A nucleus of assosymmetric algebras contains an ideal generated by commutator $[A,A]$ ([2]). In our paper we will use the following properties of assosymmetric algebra A,

$$([a,b],c,d)=(a,[b,c],d)=(a,b,[c,d])=0,$$
$$[a,b]\cdot(c,d,e)=(a,b,c)\cdot[d,e]=0,$$

for any $a,b,c,d,e\in A$. Assosymmetric algebras was studied in ([2], [6], [7], [10], [12], [13], [14]). Free base of assosymmetric algebras was found in [6].

Denote by $F^{\pm}_{\mathcal{C}}(t_1,\dots,t_k)$ subalgebra of $F_{\mathcal{C}}(t_1,\dots,t_k)^{(\pm)}$ generated by elements $t_1,\dots,t_k$. Then $F^{-}_{\mathcal{A}ss}(t_1,\dots,t_k)$ is isomorphic to free Lie algebra generated by $t_1,\dots,t_k$. In that time $F^{+}_{\mathcal{A}ss}$ is not free. For element $X\in F_{\mathcal{C}}(t_1,\dots,t_k)$ say that X is *Lie element* in the class $\mathcal{C}$ if $X\in F^{-}_{\mathcal{C}}(t_1,\dots,t_k)$. Similarly, $X\in F_{\mathcal{C}}(t_1,\dots,t_k)$ is *Jordan element* in the class $\mathcal{C}$ if $X\in F^{+}_{\mathcal{C}}(t_1,\dots,t_k)$. If $\mathcal{C}=\mathcal{A}ss$ then Jordan element is called *j-element.* If $\mathcal{C}=\mathcal{A}ssym$ then its Jordan element is called *ja-element.* If $\mathcal{C}=\mathcal{A}lt$ is a class of alternating algebras, then its Jordan element is called as *$jalt$-element.*

Let

$$jor(t_1,t_2,t_3) = (t_1,t_2,t_1^2),$$
$$wjor(t_1,t_2,t_3,t_4) = (t_2,t_1,t_3t_4)+(t_3,t_1,t_4t_2)+(t_4,t_1,t_2t_3)$$

be Jordan and multilinear Jordan polynomials. If $p\neq 2,3$, then identities $jor = 0$ and $wjor = 0$ are equivalent. If $p=3$, then the identity $wjor=0$ is a consequence of identity $jor=0$, but converse is not true. Recall that Jordan algebras are defined as commutative algebras with identity $jor=0$. Associative algebras under Jordan product satisfies the Jordan identity of degree 4,

$$\langle a,b,a^2\rangle = 0$$

and one more identity of degree 8, so called Glennie identity [5]. We give Shestakov's construction ([11], [16]) of Glennie identity. Let

$$shest(t_1,t_2,t_3) = -3\langle t_1,t_3,t_2\rangle\star(\langle t_1,t_1,t_2^2\rangle-\langle t_1,t_1,t_2\rangle\star t_2)-2\langle t_1,\langle t_1,\langle t_1,t_3,t_2\rangle,t_2\rangle,t_2\rangle$$

be Shestakov polynomial and

$$glen(t_1,t_2,t_3) = shest(t_1,t_2,t_3\star t_3)-2t_3\star shest(t_1,t_2,t_3)$$

be Glennie polynomial. Shestakov has established that the derivation $D_{([a,b]\star[a,b])\star[a,b]}\in Der\,F_{\mathcal{A}ss}$ is well defined on Jordan subspace: for any $a,b,c\in F_{Ass}$, the element

$$D=D(a,b,c)\stackrel{def}{=}[([a,b]\star[a,b])\star[a,b],c]$$

is j-element. He got exact construction of the element $D(a,b,c)$ as j-element,

$$D(a,b,c) = shest(a,b,c). \tag{1}$$

Then Glennie identity is equivalent to the Leibniz condition for derivation

$$D_{([a,b]\star[a,b])\star[a,b]} \in Der\, F^{(+)}_{\mathcal{A}ss}.$$

In our paper we prove that relation (1) holds not only for associative algebras, but also for assosymmetric algebras. In other words, the element $D(a,b,c)$ is not only j-element, but also ja-element. Note that relation (1) fails for a class alternative algebras. It does not mean that $D(a,b,c)$ is not $jalt$-element. We would be surprised very much if it is so. It just means that in alternative case Jordan polynomial corresponding to the element $D(a,b,c)$ differs from Shestakov polynomial.

Let

$$lietriple(t_1,t_2,t_3) = (t_1,t_2^2,t_3) - t_2 \star (t_1,t_2,t_3)$$

be Lie triple identity. A commutative algebra with identity $lietriple = 0$ is called *Lie triple* ([9] , [12], [13]. So, A is Lie triple, if for any $a, b \in A$,

$$(a,b^2,c) = 2b(a,b,c).$$

Denote by $\mathcal{A}ss^{(+)}$ class of plus-associative algebras, i.e. class of algebras $A^{(+)}$, where A runs associative algebras. Similarly, $\mathcal{A}ssym^{(+)}$ is a class of assosymmetric algebras under Jordan product.

Theorem 0.1. *Let $p \neq 2$. Any plus-algebra of assosymmetric algebra A is Lie triple,*

$$\langle a, b\star b, c\rangle = 2\, b \star \langle a,b,c\rangle, \qquad \forall a,b,c \in A,$$

and satisfies Glennie identity

$$glen(a,b,c) = shest(a,b,c\star c) - 2c \star shest(a,b,c) = 0, \qquad \forall a,b,c \in A.$$

The identities $[t_1,t_2] = 0, lietrriple(t_1,t_2,t_3) = 0$ and $glen(t_1,t_2,t_3) = 0$ are independent.

Theorem 0.2. *If $p \neq 2,3$, then any identity of $\mathcal{A}ssym^{(+)}$ of degree 4 follows from commutativity and Lie triple identities.*

If $p = 3$, then Lie triple identity is not minimal for the class $\mathcal{A}ssym^{(+)}$. It satisfies the identity $wjor = 0$ and any identity of degree 4 for the class $\mathcal{A}ssym^{(+)}$ in case $p = 3$ follows from commutativity one and the identity $wjor = 0$.

1. Associators, derivations and commutators for assosymmetric algebras

In this section we assume that $A = (A,\cdot)$ is assosymmetric if otherwise is not stated. Recall that $a\star b = a\cdot b + b\cdot a$, $[a,b] = a\cdot b - b\cdot a$ and $\langle a,b,c\rangle = a\star(b\star c) - (a\star b)\star c$ be associator of Jordan multiplication. Here we give some preliminary results that we will use in proof of our theorems. In proof of our lemmas we use results of [2] . This paper needs restriction $p \neq 2,3$.

Lemma 1.1. *For any algebra $A = (A,\cdot)$,*

$$\langle a,b,c\rangle - (a,b,c) + (c,b,a) = a\cdot(c\cdot b) - c\cdot(a\cdot b) - (b\cdot a)\cdot c + (b\cdot c)\cdot a.$$

Lemma 1.2. *For any* $a,b,c\in A$,

$$\langle a,b,c\rangle=[[a,c],b].$$

Proof. By Lemma 1.1 and by assosymmetric rules

$$\langle a,b,c\rangle=a\cdot(c\cdot b)-c\cdot(a\cdot b)-(b\cdot a)\cdot c+(b\cdot c)\cdot a=$$
$$[a,c]\cdot b-b\cdot[a,c]=[[a,c],b].$$

Lemma 1.3. *If* A *is assosymmetric, and* $u=[x,y]$ *is commutator element for some* $x,y\in A$, *then*

$$(u,a,b)=(a,u,b)=(a,b,u)=0,$$

and

$$u\cdot(a,b,c)=(a,b,c)\cdot u=0.$$

Moreover, if a *has a form* $[x,y]\cdot z$ *or* $x\cdot[y,z]$, *for some* $x,y,z\in A$, *then also*

$$(u,a,b)=(a,u,b)=(a,b,u)=0.$$

Proof. Follows from results of [2].

Lemma 1.4. *Let* $ad\,a:A\to A$ *be an adjoint map,* $ad\,a(b)=[a,b]=a\cdot b-b\cdot a$. *Then*

$$ad\,a(b\cdot c)=ad\,a(b)\cdot c+b\cdot ad\,a(c)+(a,b,c).$$

for any $a,b,c\in A$. *If* a *has a form* $a=[x,y]$ *or* $a=[x,y]\cdot z$, *or* $a=x\cdot[y,z]$, *for some* $x,y,z\in A$, *then* $ad\,a\in Der\,A$.

In particular, $ad\,a$ *is a derivation of minus-algebra* $A^-=(A,[\ ,\ ])$,

$$ad\,a[b,c]=[ad\,a(b),c]+[a,ad\,a(c)].$$

The map $ad\,a$ *is not derivation of plus-algebra* $A^+=(A,\star)$,

$$ad\,a(b\star c)=ad\,a(b)\star c+b\star ad\,a(c)+2(a,b,c).$$

But $ad\,a$ *is a derivation of plus-algebra, if* $a\in[A,A]$.

Proof. Follows from Lemma 1.3.

Lemma 1.5.

$$[(a,b,b),a]\star[[a,b],c]=0.$$

Proof. Let $u=[[a,b],c]$. Then by Lemma 1.3

$$((a,b,b)\cdot a)\cdot u=(a,b,b)\cdot[a,u]+((a,b,b)\cdot u)\cdot a=0,$$
$$u\cdot(a\cdot(a,b,b))=[u,a]\cdot(a,b,b)+a\cdot(u\cdot(a,b,b))=0.$$

Therefore,

$$[(a,b,b),a]\star u=$$
$$[(a,b,b),a]\cdot u+u\cdot[(a,b,b),a]=$$
$$((a,b,b)\cdot a)\cdot u-(a\cdot(a,b,b))\cdot u+u\cdot((a,b,b)\cdot a)-u\cdot(a\cdot(a,b,b))$$
$$-(a\cdot(a,b,b))\cdot u+u\cdot((a,b,b)\cdot a)=$$

$$-[a\cdot(a,b,b),u]-u\cdot(a\cdot(a,b,b)))+((a,b,b)\cdot a)\cdot u-[(a,b,b)\cdot a,u]=$$

$$-[a\cdot(a,b,b),u]-[(a,b,b)\cdot a,u]=$$

So, by Lemma 1.4 and Lemma 1.3 ,

$$[(a,b,b),a]\star u=$$
$$-[a,u]\cdot(a,b,b)-a\cdot[(a,b,b),u]-[(a,b,b),u]\cdot a-(a,b,b)\cdot[a,u]=$$
$$0.$$

Lemma 1.6. *Let $A=(A,\cdot)$ be assosymmetric algebra. For $a,b,c\in A$ set*

$$D=D(a,b,c)=[([a,b]\star[a,b])\star[a,b],c],$$

$shest=shest(a,b,c)=-3\langle a,c,b\rangle\star(\langle a,a,b^2\rangle-\langle a,a,b\rangle\star b)-2\langle a,\langle a,\langle a,c,b\rangle,b\rangle,b\rangle$. *Then $D(a,b,c)=shest(a,b,c)$. In particular, $D(a,b,c)$ is Jordan element of assosymmetric algebra generated by a,b,c.*

Proof. Let us set

$$x=[a,b],\quad u=[[a,b],c].$$

Then $u=[x,c]$. We see that u and x are commutator elements.

By Lemma 1.4

$$D=[(x\star x)\star x,c]=$$
$$-2[c,(x\cdot x)\star x]=$$
$$-2[c,x\cdot x]\star x-2(x\cdot x)\star[c,x]-4\,(c,x\cdot x,x)=$$
$$-2([c,x]\cdot x)\star x-2(x\cdot[c,x])\star x-2(x\cdot x)\star[c,x]-2(c,x,x)\star x-4\,(c,x\cdot x,x)=$$
$$-2([c,x]\star x)\star x-2(x\cdot x)\star[c,x]-2(c,x,x)\star x-4\,(c,x\cdot x,x).$$

Since $x\in[A,A]$, by Lemma 1.3.

$$(c,x,x)=(c,x,x\cdot x)=0.$$

Therefore,

$$D=2\,x\star(x\star u)+(x\star x)\star u.$$

Let us set

$$D_2=[[a,b^2],a]-[[a,b],a]\star b.$$

By Lemma 1.4

$$[a,b^2]=[a,b]\cdot b+b\cdot[a,b]+(a,b,b)=x\cdot b+b\cdot x+(a,b,b)$$

Therefore,

$$D_2=[[a,b^2],a]-[[a,b],a]\star b=$$
$$[x\cdot b,a]+[b\cdot x,a]+[(a,b,b),a]-[x,a]\star b.$$

So, by Lemma 1.4

$$D_2=[x,a]\cdot b+x\cdot[b,a]-(a,x,b)+[b,a]\cdot x+b\cdot[x,a]-(a,b,x)+[(a,b,b),a]-[x,a]\cdot b-b\cdot[x,a]=$$
$$-2x\cdot x+[(b,a,b),a]-2(a,b,x).$$

Then by Lemma 1.2

$$shest=-3[[a,b],c]\star([[a,b^2],a]-[[a,b],a]\star b)-2[[a,b],[[a,b],[[a,b],c]]]=$$
$$-3D_2\star u-2[x,[x,u]].$$

Thus,

$$D-shest=D_1+3D_2\star u,$$

where we set

$$D_1=2\,x\star(x\star u)+2[x,[x,u]]+(x\star x)\star u.$$

We have

$$D_1 =$$
$$2\,x\cdot(x\cdot u)+2\,x\cdot(u\cdot x)+2\,(x\cdot u)\cdot x+2\,(u\cdot x)\cdot x$$
$$+2\,x\cdot(x\cdot u)-2\,x\cdot(u\cdot x)-2\,(x\cdot u)\cdot x+2\,(u\cdot x)\cdot x$$
$$+(x\star x)\star u=$$
$$4\,x\cdot(x\cdot u)+4\,(u\cdot x)\cdot x+(x\star x)\star u.$$

Thus,

$$D_1+3D_2\star u=$$
$$4\,x\cdot(x\cdot u)+4\,(u\cdot x)\cdot x-6(x\cdot x)\cdot u-6\,u\cdot(x\cdot x)+3[(a,b,b),a]\star u-6(a,b,x)\star u+(x\star x)\star u=$$
$$4\,(x\cdot(x\cdot u)-(x\cdot x)\cdot u)+4\,((u\cdot x)\cdot x-u\cdot(x\cdot x))-2(x\cdot x)\cdot u-2\,u\cdot(x\cdot x)+3[(a,b,b),a]\star u-6(a,b,x)\star u+(x\star x)\star u=$$
$$4\,(x,x,u)-4\,(u,x,x)-(x\star x)\star u+3[(a,b,b),a]\star u-6(a,b,x)\star u+(x\star x)\star u=$$
$$(3[(a,b,b),a]-6(a,b,x))\star u.$$

Since by Lemma 1.3, $(a,b,x)\star u=0$, we see that

$$D_1+3D_2\star u=3[(a,b,b),a]\star u.$$

It remains to use Lemma 1.5, to obtain that

$$D-shest=D_1+3\,D_2\star u=0.$$

Lemma 1.7. $\langle b,a,c\star d\rangle+\langle c,a,d\star b\rangle+\langle d,a,b\star c\rangle=-6[a,(b,c,d)]$

Proof. We have

$$[a,b\cdot c]+[b,c\cdot a]+[c,a\cdot b]=(a,b,c)+(b,c,a)+(c,a,b)=3(a,b,c).$$

Hence,

$$[a,b\star c]+[b,c\star a]+[c,a\star b]=6(a,b,c).$$

Therefore, by Lemma 1.2,

$$\langle b,a,c\star d\rangle+\langle c,a,d\star b\rangle+\langle d,a,b\star c\rangle=$$
$$[[b,c\star d],a]+[[c,d\star b],a]+[[d,b\star c],a]=$$
$$[[b,c\star d]+[c,d\star b]+[d,b\star c],a]=$$
$$-6[a,(b,c,d)]$$

Lemma 1.8. *For any assosymmetric algebra A a polylinear map*

$$A\times A\times A\times A\to A,\quad (a,b,c,d)\mapsto wjor(a,b,c,d)$$

is symmetric,

$$wjor(a_1,a_2,a_3,a_4)=wjor(a_{\sigma(1)},a_{\sigma(2)},a_{\sigma(3)},a_{\sigma(4)}),$$

for any permutation $\sigma\in S_4$.

Proof. If $\sigma\in S_4$ fixes the first element, $\sigma(1)=1$, then

$$wjor(a_1,a_2,a_3,a_4)=wjor(a_{\sigma(1)},a_{\sigma(2)},a_{\sigma(3)},a_{\sigma(4)}),$$

for any algebra A, not necessary assosymmetric. The property

$$[a_1,(a_2,a_3,a_4)]=[a_2,(a_1,a_3,a_4)]$$

was established in [2], p.14, the relation (vii) or (vii'). Thus, by Lemma 1.7 $wjor(a_1,a_2,a_3,a_4)$ is symmetric by all parameters.

2. Proof of Theorem 0.1

By Lemma 1.2

$$\langle a, b \star b, c\rangle = 2\,[[a, c], b^2] =$$

$$2\,[[a, c], b] \cdot b + 2\,b \cdot [[a, c], b] = 2\langle a, b, c\rangle \cdot b + 2\,b \cdot \langle a, b, c\rangle = 2\langle a, b, c\rangle \star b.$$

Hence for any $a, b, c \in A$,

$$\langle a, b \star b, c\rangle = 2b \star \langle a, b, c\rangle.$$

Prove now that, if A is assosymmetric, then

$$glennie(a, b, c) = shest(a, b, c \star c) - 2\,c \star shest(a, b, c) = 0$$

is polynomial identity for plus-assosymmetric algebras. For $p \neq 2, 3,$ we can apply results of Section 1.

Let $p \neq 2, 3$. By Lemma 1.6, $D(a, b, c) = shest(a, b, c)$ is well defined on plus-assosymmetric algebras and the map $c \mapsto D(a, b, c)$ is a derivation of assosymmeric algebras. In particular, it is a derivation of plus-assosymmetric algebras. The condition $shest(a, b, c\star c) = 0$ is equivalent to Leibniz condition for this derivation. As was shown by Shestakov, the identity $shest = 0$ is equivalent to Glennie identity [5]. Suppose that the identity $shest = 0$ is a consequence of commutativity and Lie-triple identities. Since Lie-triple identity is a consequence of Jordan identity, this means that $shest = 0$ will be identity for Jordan algebars also. It contradicts to Glennie's result that Glennie identity is exceptional. Therefore, commutative, Jordan and Glennie identities are independent non only in a class of plus-associative algebras, but also in a class of plus-assosymmetric algebras.

For $p = 3$ one can check by computer program, say by albert [1], that Glennie identity holds for plus-assosymmetric algebras also.

3. Identities of degree 4 for commutative algebras

In this section we consider non-associative but *commutative* polynomials with variables $t_1, t_2, \cdots$. Let $wjor$ be multilinear Jordan polynomial

$$wjor(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4) = (t_2, t_1, t_3t_4) + (t_3, t_1, t_4t_2) + (t_4, t_1, t_2t_3)$$

and

$$jor_2(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4) =$$

$$wjor(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4) - wjor(t_2, t_1, t_3, t_4) + wjor(t_3, t_1, t_2, t_4) - wjor(t_4, t_1, t_2, t_3).$$

Define multilinear commutative non-associative polynomial jor_1 by

$$jor_1(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4) = [l_{t_1}, l_{t_2}](t_3t_4) - t_3([l_{t_1}, l_{t_2}](t_4)) - ([l_{t_1}, l_{t_2}](t_3))t_4 =$$

$$t_1(t_2(t_3t_4)) - t_3(t_1(t_2t_4)) - (t_1(t_2t_3))t_4 - t_2(t_1(t_3t_4)) - t_3(t_2(t_1t_4)) - (t_2(t_1t_3))t_4.$$

Note that $jor_1(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4)$ is skew-symmetric by variables t_1, t_2 and symmetric by variables t_3, t_4.

Lemma 3.1. *If A is commutative algebra, then for any $a, b, c \in A$,*

$$(a, b, c) = [l_a, l_c](b).$$

In particular, for any $a, b, c \in A$,

$$(a, b, c) + (c, b, a) = 0.$$

Lemma 3.2.

$$jor_1(t_1,t_2,t_3,t_4)=(t_1,t_3t_4,t_2)-t_3(t_1,t_4,t_2)-t_4(t_1,t_3,t_2).$$

Proof. Easy calculations based on Lemma 3.1.

Lemma 3.3. $jor_1(t_1,t_2,t_3,t_4)=-wjor(t_1,t_2,t_3,t_4)+wjor(t_2,t_1,t_3,t_4)$.

Proof. We have

$$\begin{gathered}wjor(t_1,t_2,t_3,t_4)-wjor(t_2,t_1,t_3,t_4)=\\(t_2,t_1,t_3t_4)+(t_3,t_1,t_4t_2)+(t_4,t_1,t_2t_3)-\\(t_1,t_2,t_3t_4)-(t_3,t_2,t_4t_1)-(t_4,t_2,t_1t_3)=\\t_2(t_1(t_3t_4))-(t_2t_1)(t_3t_4)+t_3(t_1(t_4t_2))-(t_3t_1)(t_4t_2)+t_4(t_1(t_2t_3))-(t_4t_1)(t_2t_3)\\-t_1(t_2(t_3t_4))+(t_1t_2)(t_3t_4)-t_3(t_2(t_4t_1))+(t_3t_2)(t_4t_1)-t_4(t_2(t_1t_3))+(t_4t_2)(t_1t_3)=\\t_2(t_1(t_3t_4))+t_3(t_1(t_4t_2))+t_4(t_1(t_2t_3))\\-t_1(t_2(t_3t_4))-t_3(t_2(t_4t_1))-t_4(t_2(t_1t_3))=\\-t_1(t_2(t_3t_4))+t_3(t_1(t_2t_4))+(t_1(t_2t_3))t_4\\t_2(t_1(t_3t_4))-t_3(t_2(t_1t_4))-(t_2(t_1t_3))t_4=\\-jor_1(t_1,t_2,t_3,t_4).\end{gathered}$$

Lemma 3.4.

$$\begin{gathered}jor_2(t_1,t_2,t_3,t_4)-jor_2(t_2,t_1,t_3,t_4)=-2\,jor_1(t_1,t_2,t_3,t_4),\\jor_2(t_1,t_2,t_3,t_4)-jor_2(t_1,t_2,t_4,t_3)=-2\,jor_1(t_3,t_4,t_1,t_2),\\jor_2(t_1,t_2,t_3,t_4)+jor_2(t_2,t_1,t_3,t_4)=-2\,jor_1(t_3,t_4,t_1,t_2),\\jor_2(t_1,t_2,t_3,t_4)+jor_2(t_1,t_2,t_4,t_3)=-2\,jor_1(t_1,t_2,t_3,t_4).\end{gathered}$$

In particular,

$$jor_2(t_1,t_2,t_3,t_4)+jor_2(t_2,t_1,t_4,t_3)=0. \tag{2}$$

Proof. Since variables t_i are commuting, by Lemma 3.1

$$jor_2(t_1,t_2,t_3,t_4)-jor_2(t_2,t_1,t_3,t_4)=2(wjor(t_1,t_2,t_3,t_4)-wjor(t_2,t_1,t_3,t_4))$$

Therefore by Lemma 3.3,

$$jor_2(t_1,t_2,t_3,t_4)-jor_2(t_2,t_1,t_3,t_4)=-2\,jor_1(t_1,t_2,t_3,t_4).$$

Further, by Lemma 3.3,

$$\begin{gathered}jor_2(t_1,t_2,t_3,t_4)-jor_2(t_1,t_2,t_4,t_3)=\\2\{wjor(t_3,t_1,t_2,t_4)-wjor(t_4,t_1,t_2,t_3)\}=\\2\{wjor(t_3,t_4,t_1,t_2)-wjor(t_4,t_3,t_1,t_2)\}=\\-2\,jor_1(t_3,t_4,t_1,t_2).\end{gathered}$$

Similarly, by Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.3,

$$\begin{gathered}jor_2(t_1,t_2,t_3,t_4)+jor_2(t_2,t_1,t_3,t_4)=\\2\{wjor(t_3,t_1,t_2,t_4)-wjor(t_4,t_1,t_2,t_3)\}=\\2\{wjor(t_3,t_4,t_1,t_2)-wjor(t_4,t_3,t_1,t_2)\}=\\-2\,jor_1(t_3,t_4,t_1,t_2),\end{gathered}$$

and

$$jor_2(t_1,t_2,t_3,t_4)+jor_2(t_1,t_2,t_4,t_3)=$$

$$2\{wjor(t_1,t_2,t_3,t_4) - wjor(t_2,t_1,t_3,t_4)\} = \\ -2\,jor_1(t_1,t_2,t_3,t_4).$$

Since

$$jor_2(t_1,t_2,t_3,t_4) - jor_2(t_1,t_2,t_4,t_3) = -2\,jor_1(t_3,t_4,t_1,t_2) = \\ jor_2(t_1,t_2,t_3,t_4) + jor_2(t_2,t_1,t_3,t_4),$$

we have

$$jor_2(t_1,t_2,t_4,t_3) + jor_2(t_2,t_1,t_3,t_4) = 0.$$

□

Lemma 3.5.

$$jor_2(t_1,t_2,t_3,t_4) = -jor_1(t_1,t_2,t_3,t_4) - jor_1(t_3,t_4,t_1,t_2).$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.4

$$jor_2(t_1,t_2,t_3,t_4) - jor_2(t_2,t_1,t_3,t_4) = -2\,jor_1(t_1,t_2,t_3,t_4),$$
$$jor_2(t_1,t_2,t_3,t_4) - jor_2(t_1,t_2,t_4,t_3) = -2\,jor_1(t_3,t_4,t_1,t_2),$$

Add these two relations. By Lemma 3.4, relation (2), we receive that

$$jor_2(t_1,t_2,t_3,t_4) = -jor_1(t_1,t_2,t_3,t_4) - jor_1(t_3,t_4,t_1,t_2).$$

□

Lemma 3.6. *Let $p \neq 2$ and A be a commutative algebra. Then the following conditions are equivalent*

$$[l_a, l_b] \in DerA \tag{3}$$

$$a(b(cd)) - (a(bc))d - c(a(bd)) = b(a(cd)) - (b(ac))d - c(b(ad)) \tag{4}$$

$$a(b(cc)) - 2(a(bc))c = b(a(cc)) - 2c(b(ac)) \tag{5}$$

$$(a, cc, b) = 2c(a, c, b) \tag{6}$$

$$(a, bc, d) = b(a, c, d) + c(a, b, d) \tag{7}$$

$$jor_2(a, b, c, d) = 0 \tag{8}$$

$$[[l_a, l_c], l_b] = l_{(a,b,c)} \tag{9}$$

$$wjor(a, b, c, d) = wjor(b, a, c, d) \tag{10}$$

Any of these identities implies the identity

$$2((ba)a)a + b((aa)a) = 3(b(aa))a \tag{11}$$

Proof. The Leibniz rule for a derivation $[l_a, l_b]$ can be written as

$$[l_a, l_b](cd) = ([l_a, l_b]c)d + c([l_a, l_b]d)$$

Therefore (3) is equivalent to (4). Substitution in (4) $c = d$ gives us (5). Conversely, polarization of (5) gives us (4). Rewrite (5) by the following way,

$$a(b(cc)) - b(a(cc)) = 2c\{a(bc) - b(ac)\}.$$

For commutative algebra this condition is equivalent to (6). The identity (7) is a polarization of (6),

$(a, (b+c)^2, d) - 2(b+c)(a, b+c, d) - (a, b^2, d) + 2b(a, b, d) - (a, c^2, d) + 2c(a, c, d) =$

$$(a,bc,d)+(a,cb,d)-2b(a,c,d)-2c(a,b,d)=$$
$$2\{(a,bc,d)-b(a,c,d)-c(a,b,d)\}.$$

So, (6) implies (7). If $p\neq 2$, let us substitute $b=c$ in (7). We obtain (6).

By Lemma 3.3, identities $jor_1=0$ and $jor_2=0$ are equivalent. Therefore, by Lemma 3.2 identities (7) and (8) are equivalent. Further

$$jor_2(a,b,c,c)=(a,cc,b)-2c(a,c,b).$$

So, (6), (7), (8) are equivalent. We have

$$([[l_a,l_c],l_b]-l_{(a,b,c)})d=$$
$$a(c(bd))-c(a(bd))-b(a(cd))+b(c(ad))-(a,b,c)d=$$
$$(a,bd,c)-b(a,d,c)-(a,b,c)d.$$

Therefore (9) is equivalent to (7). By Lemma 3.3 conditions (4) and (10) are equivalent. Take in (8) $c=d=a$,

$$jor_2(a,b,a,a)=$$
$$(a,aa,b)-2a(a,a,b)+(a,ab,a)-a(a,b,a)-b(a,a,a)=$$
$$a((aa)b)-(a(aa))b-2a(a(ab))+2a((aa)b)=$$
$$3a(b(aa))-b(a(aa))-2a(a(ab)).$$

So, (8) implies (11).

Remark. If $p>3$ then (11) and any of identities (3), (4), (5), (6), (7), (8) and (9) are equivalent.

Remark. In Lemma 3.6 we assume that the commutativity identity $t_1t_2=t_2t_1$ is given. If we omit the commutativity identity, then identities (3) – (10) are not equivalent. Namely, (3) and (6) are equivalent, (4), (5), (7), (8) are equivalent, (3) and (6) imply (4), (5), (7), (8) and (4), (5), (7), (8) do not imply (3) and (6).

4. Proof of Theorem 0.2.

Consider identities for the class $\mathcal{A}ss^+$. It has identity of degree 2: commutativity identity. No identity of degree 3 that does not follow from commutativity rule. In degree 4 the following commutative non-associative polynomials gives us identities that are not consequences of commutativity identity (see [8], chapter 1.1, p.5-6):

$$g^{(1)}_{[4]}(t_1)=(t_1,t_1,t_1^2),$$

$$g^{(1)}_{[3,1]}(t_1,t_2)=(t_1,t_2,t_1^2),$$
$$g^{(2)}_{[3,1]}(t_1,t_2)=t_2(t_1t_1^2)+2t_1(t_1(t_1t_2))-3t_1(t_2t_1^2),$$

$$g^{(1)}_{[2,2]}(t_1,t_2)=t_1^2t_2^2-t_1(t_1t_2^2)-2t_2(t_1(t_1t_2))+2(t_1t_2)(t_1t_2),$$

$$g^{(1)}_{[2,1,1]}(t_1,t_2,t_3)=(t_1,t_1,t_2t_3)+(t_2,t_1,t_3t_1)+(t_3,t_1,t_1t_2),$$
$$g^{(2)}_{[2,1,1]}(t_1,t_2,t_3)=2(t_1,t_2,t_1t_3)+(t_3,t_2,t_1^2),$$

$$g^{(1)}_{[1,1,1,1]}(t_1,t_2,t_3,t_4)=(t_2,t_1,t_2t_3)+(t_3,t_1,t_1t_4)+(t_4,t_1,t_2t_3).$$

All polynomials of a form $g^{(i)}_{\alpha}$ are homogeneous of type α. This means that lower index α corresponds to the type of identity, i.e., if $\alpha=[\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_s,\ldots]$, then t_s

in each monomial of $g_\alpha^{(i)}$ enters α_s times. Such α_s is called multiplicity of t_s. Note that permutation of indices t_s with equal multiplicities in $g_\alpha^{(i)}$ induces a consequence of the identity $g_\alpha^{(i)}$ of type α. Therefore, any consequence of these identities in degree 4 can be presented as a linear combination of polynomials with given type where variables with equal multiplicity are permuted.

Any associative algebra is assosymmetric. Therefore, any identity of type α of degree 4 for plus-assosymmetric algebras is a consequence of identities $g_\alpha^{(i)}$. So, polynomials of the following form should be tested for an identity of plus-assosymmetric algebras

$$\alpha = [4], \qquad f_{[4]} = g_{[4]}^{(1)},$$
$$\alpha = [3,1], \qquad f_{[3,1]}^{\mu_1,\mu_2} = \mu_1 g_{[3,1]}^{(1)} + \mu_2 g_{[3,1]}^{(2)}, \quad \mu_i \in K,$$
$$\alpha = [2,2], \qquad f_{[2,2]}^{\mu_1,\mu_2}(t_1,t_2) = \mu_1 g_{[2,2]}^{(1)}(t_1,t_2) + \mu_2 g_{[2,2]}^{(1)}(t_2,t_1), \quad \mu_i \in K.$$

Since $g_{[2,2]}^{(1)}(t_1,t_2,t_3) = g_{[2,2]}^{(1)}(t_1,t_3,t_1)$, in case of $\alpha = [2,1,1]$, as a general form of a commutative polynomial tested for identity of plus-assosymmetric algebras we can get

$$f_{[2,1,1]}^{\mu_1,\mu_2,\mu_3}(t_1,t_2,t_3) =$$
$$\mu_1 g_{[2,1,1]}^{(1)}(t_1,t_2,t_3) + \mu_2 g_{[2,1,1]}^{(2)}(t_1,t_2,t_3) + \mu_3 g_{[2,1,1]}^{(2)}(t_1,t_3,t_2), \quad \mu_i \in K.$$

Recall that $wjor(t_1,t_2,t_3,t_4)$ are symmetric by permutations of indices t_2,t_3,t_4. Therefore as a general form of a commutative polynomial tested for identity of plus-assosymmetric algebras in the case $\alpha = [1,1,1,1]$ we can take

$$f_{[1,1,1,1]}^{\mu_1,\mu_2,\mu_3,\mu_4}(t_1,t_2,t_3,t_4) =$$
$$\mu_1 g_{[1,1,1,1]}^{(1)}(t_1,t_2,t_3,t_4) + \mu_2 g_{[1,1,1,1]}^{(1)}(t_2,t_1,t_3,t_4) + \mu_3 g_{[1,1,1,1]}^{(1)}(t_3,t_1,t_2,t_4) +$$
$$\mu_4 g_{[1,1,1,1]}^{(1)}(t_4,t_1,t_2,t_3), \quad \mu_i \in K.$$

By theorem 1 of [6] free assosymmetric algebra in type α of degree 4 have the following base and dimensions

α	*base*	*dim*
$[4]$	$\{((aa)a)a, (aa)(aa), (a(aa))a\}$	3
$[3,1]$	$\{(aa)(ab), (b(aa))a, ((ba)a)a, ((ab)a)a, ((aa)b)a, (a(aa))b, ((aa)a)b\}$	7
$[2,2]$	$\{\{(aa)(bb), (b(ab))a, ((bb)a)a, ((ba)b)a, ((ab)b)a, (b(aa))b, ((ba)a)b, ((ab)a)b, ((aa)b)b\}$	9
$[2,1,1]$	$\{(aa)(bc), (c(ab))a, ((cb)a)a, ((bc)a)a, ((ca)b)a, ((ac)b)a, ((ba)c)a, ((ab)c)a, (c(aa))b, ((ca)a)b, ((ac)a)b, ((aa)c)b, (b(aa))c, ((ba)a)c, ((ab)a)c, ((aa)b)c\}$	16
$[1,1,1,1]$	too big to be presented here	29

Let us substitute in polynomials f_α instead of parameters t_i elements of free assosymmetric algebras and calculate its value in terms of assosymmetric multiplication.

We have

$$f_{[4]}(a) =< a,a,\{a,a\} >=$$
$$\{a,\{a,\{a,a\}\}\} - \{\{a,a\},\{a,a\}\} =$$

$$2(a(a(aa))+2a((aa)a)+2(a(aa))a+2((aa)a)a-8(aa)(aa)=$$
$$2(a,a,aa)-2(aa,a,a)+2a((aa)a)+2(a(aa))a-4(aa)(aa)=$$
$$2(a,aa,a)+4(a(aa))a-4(aa)(aa)=$$
$$2(aa,a,a)+4(a(aa))a-4(aa)(aa)=$$
$$2(aa)(aa)-2((aa)a)a+4(a(aa))a-4(aa)(aa)=$$
$$-2((aa)a)a+4(a(aa))a-2(aa)(aa).$$

Since elements $((aa)a)a, (a(aa))a$ and $(aa)(aa)$ are base elements, this means that $f_{[4]}(a)\neq 0$. So, plus-assosymmetric algebras have no identity of type [4].

Similar calculations show that $f_{[3,1]}=0$ is identity if $\mu_1=0$. So, in type [3,1] plus-assosymmetric algebras have an identity $g^{(2)}_{[3,1]}=0$.

Consider type [2,2] case. Calculations show that

$$f^{\mu_1,\mu_2}_{[2,2]}(t_1,t_2)=$$
$$6(\mu_1+\mu_2)\{(aa)(b,b)-2(b(ab))a-((aa)b)b+2((ba)b)a\}.$$

So, $f^{\mu_1,\mu_2}_{[2,2]}$ is identity for plus-assosymmetric algebras if $\mu_1+\mu_2=0$, $p\neq 2,3$, and, $h_{[2,2]}(t_1,t_2)=0$ is identity for plus-assosymmetric algebras, where $h_{[2,2]}=f^{-1,1}_{[2,2]}$. Note that

$$h_{[2,2]}(t_1,t_2)=t_1(t_1t_2^2)-t_2(t_2t_1^2)-2t_1(t_2(t_1t_2))+2t_2(t_1(t_1t_2))$$

is identity for plus-assosymmetric algebras.

In the case of type [2,1,1], we have

$$f^{\mu_1,\mu_2,\mu_3}_{[2,1,1]}(a,b,c)=-6(\mu_1+\mu_2+\mu_3)\{(aa)(bc)-2(c(ab))a-((aa)b)c+2((ca)b)a\}.$$

Therefore, $h^{(1)}_{[2,1,1]}=0$ and $h^{(2)}_{[2,1,1]}=0$ are identities for plus-assosymmetric algebras, where

$$h^{(1)}_{[2,1,1]}=f^{1,-1,0}_{[2,1,1]},\qquad h^{(2)}_{[2,1,1]}=f^{0,1,-1}_{[2,1,1]},$$

if $p\neq 2,3$. Note that

$$h^{(1)}_{[2,1,1]}(t_1,t_2,t_3)=(t_1,t_1,t_2t_3)+(t_2,t_1,t_3t_1)+(t_3,t_1,t_1t_2)-2(t_1,t_2,t_1t_3)-(t_3,t_2,t_1^2),$$
$$h^{(2)}_{[2,1,1]}(t_1,t_2,t_3)=$$
$$2(t_1,t_2,t_1t_3)-2(t_1,t_3,t_1t_2)+(t_3,t_2,t_1^2)-(t_2,t_3,t_1^2).$$

Now consider the case [1,1,1,1]. By Lemma 1.7 the polynomial $wjor$ can not give an identity on $\mathcal{A}ssym^+$, if $p\neq 2,3$. For any assosymmetric algebra A and for any its four elements $a,b,c,d\in A$ by Lemma 1.8,

$$f^{\mu_1,\mu_2,\mu_3,\mu_4}_{[1,1,1,1]}(a,b,c,d)=(\mu_1+\mu_2+\mu_3+\mu_4)wjor(a,b,c,d).$$

Thus, $f^{\mu_1,\mu_2,\mu_3,\mu_4}_{[1,1,1,1]}=0$ is identity on $\mathcal{A}ssym^+$ if and only if

$$\mu_1+\mu_2+\mu_3+\mu_4=0$$

Therefore

$$\mu_4=-\mu_1-\mu_2-\mu_3,$$

and

$$f^{\mu_1,\mu_2,\mu_3,\mu_4}_{[1,1,1,1]}(t_1,t_2,t_3,t_4)=$$
$$\mu_1(wjor(t_1,t_2,t_3,t_4)-wjor(t_4,t_1,t_2,t_3))+$$
$$\mu_2(wjor(t_2,t_1,t_3,t_4)-wjor(t_4,t_1,t_2,t_3))+$$

$$\mu_3(wjor(t_3,t_1,t_2,t_4) - wjor(t_4,t_1,t_2,t_3)).$$

In other words, by Lemma 3.3,

$$-f^{\mu_1,\mu_2,\mu_3,\mu_4}_{[1,1,1,1]}(t_1,t_2,t_3,t_4) =$$

$$\mu_1\, jor_1(t_1,t_4,t_2,t_3) + \mu_2\, jor_1(t_2,t_4,t_1,t_3) + \mu_3\, jor_1(t_3,t_4,t_1,t_2).$$

By Lemma 1.8 $jor_1 = 0$ is identity on $\mathcal{A}ssym^+$. So, $jor_1 = 0$ is identity for $\mathcal{A}ssym^+$.

It remains to prove that all identities appeared for types [2,2] and [2,1,1] are consequences of the identity $jor_1 = 0$ if $p \neq 2, 3$.

It is easy too see that

$$h_{[2,2]}(t_1,t_2) =$$

$$(t_1,t_1,t_2^2) - (t_2,t_2,t_1^2) - 2(t_1,t_2,t_1t_2) + 2(t_2,t_1,t_1t_2) =$$

$$t_1(t_1t_2^2) - t_2(t_2t_1^2) - 2\{t_1(t_2(t_1t_2)) - t_2(t_1(t_1t_2))\} =$$

$$-t_1(t_2,t_2,t_1) + t_2(t_1,t_1,t_2) - t_1(t_2(t_1t_2)) + t_2(t_1(t_1t_2)).$$

Therefore by Lemma 3.1,

$$h_{[2,2]}(t_1,t_2) =$$

$$t_1(t_1,t_2,t_2) + t_2(t_1,t_1,t_2) - t_1((t_1t_2)t_2) + (t_1(t_1t_2))t_2 =$$

$$t_1(t_1,t_2,t_2) + t_2(t_1,t_1,t_2) - (t_1,t_1t_2,t_2).$$

Hence the identity $h_{[2,2]} = 0$ is a consequence of the identity

$$(t_1,t_2t_3,t_4) - t_1(t_1,t_3,t_4) - (t_1,t_2,t_4)t_3 = 0.$$

By Lemma 3.6, (7), this means that the identity $h_{[2,2]} = 0$ is a consequence of identity $jor_1 = 0$. By Lemma 3.3

$$h^{(1)}_{[2,1,1]} = wjor(t_1,t_2,t_3,t_1) - wjor(t_2,t_1,t_3,t_1) = -jor_1(t_1,t_2,t_3,t_1),$$

$$h^{(2)}_{[2,1,1]} = wjor(t_2,t_3,t_1,t_1) - wjor(t_3,t_2,t_1,t_1) = -jor_1(t_2,t_3,t_1,t_1).$$

So, $jor_1 = 0$ is a minimal identity for $\mathcal{A}ssym^+$ that does not follow from commutativity identity if $p = char\, K \neq 2, 3$.

Now consider the case $p = 3$. By Lemma 1.7 $wjor = 0$ is an identity for plus-assosymmetric algebras. As we have checked above $g^{(1)}_{[2,2]} = 0$ and $g^{(1)}_{[2,1,1]} = g^{(2)}_{[2,1,1]} = 0$ are identities for $p = 3$. We have

$$g^{(1)}_{[2,2]}(t_1,t_2) = -(t_1,t_1,t_2^2) - 2(t_2,t_1,t_1t_2) = -wjor(t_1,t_1,t_2,t_2),$$

$$g^{(1)}_{[2,1,1]}(t_1,t_2,t_3) = wjor(t_1,t_1,t_2,t_3),$$

$$g^{(2)}_{[2,1,1]}(t_1,t_2,t_3) = 2(t_1,t_2,t_1t_3) + (t_3,t_2,t_1^2) = wjor(t_2,t_3,t_1,t_1).$$

So, $wjor = 0$ is a minimal identity for $\mathcal{A}ssym^+$, $p = 3$.

Since by Lemma 3.6 Lie triple identity and the identity $jor_1 = 0$ are equivalent, Theorem 0.2 is proved completely.

5. Additional remarks

Remark 1 concerns Theorem 0.2 in case $p = 2$. If $p = 2$, then $\mathcal{A}ssym^{(+)} = \mathcal{A}ssym^{(-)}$. Therefore, plus-assosymmetric algebras are Lie, and all identities for $\mathcal{A}ssym^{(+)}$ follows from commutativity and Jacobi identities. In particular, Jordan identity and Glennie identity are consequences of commutativity one and Jacobi identity.

Remark 2. Recall that an Jordan algebra is called *special* Jordan, if it is isomorphic to a subalgebra of algebra $A^{(+)}$ for some associative algebra A. Well known that Jordan algebra of 3×3 hermitian matrices over octonians M_3^8 is not special, and Glennie identity is an example of special Jordan identity. Say that a Lie triple algebra is *special* if it is isomorphic to a subalgbera of algebra $A^{(+)}$ for some Lie triple algebra A. By Theorem 0.1 M_3^8 as Lie triple algebra is exeptional and Glennie identity is special Lie triple identity.

Remark 3. Non-commutative Jordan algebras are defined as flexible algebras with identity $jor = 0$. The flexible identity appears as a consequence of Jordan identity if one assumes existence of unit. For Lie triple case, supplementing of unite gives nothing, and one can define non-commutative versions of Lie triple algebras in two ways. Let

$$assder(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4) = (t_1, t_2t_3, t_4) - t_2(t_1, t_3, t_4) - (t_1, t_2, t_4)t_3.$$

An algebra with identity $assder = 0$ is called *associator is derivation* algebra. The identity $lietriple = 0$ is a consequence of the identity $assder = 0$. One can consider non-commutative version of Lie triple algebras as algebras with identity $assder = 0$ or one can understand non-commutative Lie triple algebras as algebras with identity $lietriple = 0$. We think that second version is perefereable. It contains a class of non-commutative Jordan algebras.

For an algebra $A = (A, \cdot)$, let us define its q-commutator by $a \cdot_q b = a \cdot b + q\, b \cdot a$. Quasi-associative algebras are defined as associative algebras under q-commutator. Similarly, let us define quasi-assosymmetric algebras as assosymmetric algebras under q-commutator.

Let $\sigma_q : K\langle t_1, \dots, t_k\rangle \to K\langle t_1, \dots, t_k\rangle$ is endomorphism of free magmatic algebra that corresponds to a magmatic polynomial a polynomial where magmatic products are changed my their q-commutators. For example, if $f = (t_2 \cdot t_3) \cdot t_1$ then $\sigma_q f = (t_2 \cdot_q t_3) \cdot_q t_1 = (t_2 \cdot t_3) \cdot t_1 + q\,(t_3 \cdot t_2) \cdot t_1 + q\, t_1 \cdot (t_2 \cdot t_3) + q^2 t_1 \cdot (t_3 \cdot t_2)$. In [3] Theorems 2.2 and 2.3 state that if $q^2 \neq 1$, and $\mathcal{C} = Var(f_1, \dots, f_s)$ is a variety, then

- $\mathcal{C}^{(q)}$ is a variety also, and
- $\mathcal{C}^{(q)} = Var(\sigma_{-q} f_1, \dots, \sigma_{-q} f_s)$.

By this result, quasi-assosymmetric algebras forms variety if $q^2 \neq 1$, and the variety $\mathcal{A}ssym^{(q)}$ is generated by identites $lsym^{(q)} = 0$ and $rsym^{(q)} = 0$, where

$$lsym^{(q)}(t_1, t_2, t_3) = \sigma_{-q} lsym(t_1, t_2, t_3) =$$

$$t_1(t_2t_3) - qt_1(t_3t_2) - t_2(t_1t_3) + qt_2(t_3t_1) +$$

$$q(q+1)t_3(t_1t_2) - q(q+1)t_3(t_2t_1) - (1+q)(t_1t_2)t_3 +$$

$$(q+1)(t_2t_1)t_3 + q(t_1t_3)t_2 - q^2(t_3t_1)t_2 - q(t_2t_3)t_1 + q^2(t_3t_2)t_1$$

and

$$rsym^{(q)} = \sigma_{-q} rsym(t_1, t_2, t_3) =$$

$$(q+1)t_1(t_2t_3)-(q+1)t_1(t_3t_2)-qt_2(t_1t_3)+q^2t_2(t_3t_1)+qt_3(t_1t_2)-q^2t_3(t_2t_1)$$
$$-(t_1t_2)t_3+(t_1t_3)t_2+q(t_2t_1)t_3-q(t_3t_1)t_2-q(q+1)(t_2t_3)t_1+q(q+1)(t_3t_2)t_1.$$

Note that the identity $assder = 0$ is a consequence of identities $lsym^{(q)} = 0, rsym^{(q)} = 0$. Therefore, quasi-assosymmetric algebras gives us another examples of non-commutative Lie triple algebras.

Remark 4. Assosymmetric operad is not Koszul. Let us give sketch of proof. Dual operad to assosymmetric operad is generated by identities

$$[a,b]c+[b,c]a+[c,a]b=0,$$
$$(a,b,c)=0.$$

Let $d_n^!$ are dimensions of multilinear part of free algebra with such identities. Then

$$d_1^!=1, d_2^!=2, d_3^!=5, d_4^!=9, d_5^!=9, d_6^!=11, d_7^!=13.$$

Generating functions of assosymetric and dual assostymetric operads looks like

$$G_{assym}(x) = -x+2x^2/2!-7x^3/3!+29x^4/4!-136x^5/5!+O(x)^6,$$
$$G^!_{assym}(x) = -x+2x^2-5x^3/3!+9x^4/4!-9x^5/5!+O(x)^6.$$

and

$$G_{assym}(G^!_{assym}(x)) = x+3x^5/8+O(x)^6 \neq x.$$

So, by Koszulity criterium ([4] Proposition 4.14(b)) assosymmetric operad is not Koszul.

References

[1] Albert version 4.0; http://www.cs.clemson.edu/ ∼ dpj/albertstuff/albert.html .
[2] A.H. Boers, *On assosymmetric rings*, Indag. Math. (N.S.), **5**(1994), 9-27.
[3] A.S. Dzhumadil'daev, *q -Leibniz algebras,* Serdica Math. J., **34**(2008), 415-440.
[4] V. Ginzburg, M. Kapranov, *Koszul duality for operads,* Duke Math. J., **76**(1994), 203-272; Erratum: **80**(1995), 293; arXiv: 0709.1228.
[5] C.M. Glennie, *Some identities valid in special Jordan algebras but not in all Jordan algebras*, Pacific J. Math. **16** (1966), 4759.
[6] I.R. Hentzel, D.P. Jacobs, L.A. Peresi, *A basis for free assosymmetric algebras,* J. Algebra, **183**(1996), 306-318.
[7] I.R. Henzel, L.A. Peresi, *Almost Jordan rings,* Proc. AMS, **104**(1988), No. 2, 343-348.
[8] N. Jacobson, *Structure and representations of Jordan algebras,* AMS Colloq. Publ., v. XXXIX, Providence, Rhode Island, 1968.
[9] P. Jordan, S. Matsushita, *Zur Theorie der Lie-Tripel-Algebren,* Akad. Wiss. Lit. Mainz Abh. Math. Natur. Kl. Jahrg, 1967, Nr.7.
[10] E. Kleinfeld, *Assosymmetric rings*, Proc. AMS, **8**(1957), 983-986.
[11] K. McCrimmon, *A taste of Jordan algebras*, New York, Springer-Verl., 2004.
[12] J.M. Osborn, *Lie triple algebras with one generator,* Math. Z., **110**(1969), 52-74.
[13] H. Petersson, *Zur theorie der Lie-Tripel-Algebren,* Math. Z., **97**(1967), 1-15.
[14] K. Sitaram, *On some classes of non-associative rings,* Proc. Kon. ned. Akad. v Wetensch. A 76, Indag. Math. **35**(1973), 368-369.
[15] K.A. Zhevlakov, A.M. Slin'ko, I.P. Shestakov, and A.I. Shirshov, *Rings that are nearly associative,* Academic Press, New York, 1982.
[16] S.R. Sverchkov, *Jordan s -identitites in three variables,* Algebra and Logic, **50**(2011), No.1, 62-88.

Kazakh-British University, Tole bi 59, Almaty,050000,Kazakhstan
E-mail address: dzhuma@hotmail.com